## New record of endolithic algae syn-vivo associated with an Early Cretaceous coral

#### Bogusław KOŁODZIEJ<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### Vyara IDAKIEVA 3, 4

#### Marin IVANOV 5

#### Klaudiusz Salamon<sup>1, 6</sup>

**Abstract:** Euendolithic microorganisms (boring endoliths) *syn-vivo* associated with modern corals are commonly reported, but their fossil record is extremely rare. This paper reports the new finding recognized in the colonial scleractinian coral *Clausastrea saltensis* from the Upper Barremian of Bulgaria. Large microborings (up to 50  $\mu$ m, most ca. 15-25  $\mu$ m in diameter) filled with calcite cement are distributed medially along coral septa of some corallites. Borings were produced by microeuendoliths growing from the skeleton interior outward during the life of the coral host. They are compared to traces produced by the recent oligophotic filamentous chlorophyte *Ostreobium*, which is known to be the most common skeleton-dwelling alga in modern living corals and regarded as neutral or beneficial to the coral. In terms of general morphology, diameter and distribution pattern, the borings are similar to those recently recognized in the Early Cretaceous microsolenid coral.

#### **Key-words:**

- microborings;
- euendoliths;
- symbiosis;
- corals;
- Barremian;
- Bulgaria.

**Citation:** KOŁODZIEJ B., IDAKIEVA V., IVANOV M. & SALAMON K. (2016).- New record of endolithic algae *syn-vivo* associated with an Early Cretaceous coral.- *Carnets Geol.*, Madrid, vol. 16, no. 27, p. 633-640.

**Résumé : Découverte nouvelle d'algues endolithes affectant un corail d'âge Crétacé inférieur de son vivant.-** Des microorganismes euendolithiques (endolithes perforants) sont souvent signalés affectant des coraux modernes de leur vivant, alors qu'*a contrario* ils sont extrêmement rares dans le registre fossile. Cette publication fait état de leur présence documentée récemment dans un corail scléractiniaire colonial, *Clausastrea saltensis*, du Barrémien supérieur de Bulgarie. Des perforations relativement larges (jusqu'à 50 µm de diamètre, dans la plupart des cas de l'ordre de 15 à 25 µm) colmatées par un ciment calcitique sont réparties selon le plan médian des cloisons coralliennes de quelques corallites. Ces perforations sont dues à des euendolithes microscopiques qui se développaient du vivant de leur hôte corallien depuis l'intérieur de son squelette vers l'extérieur. Elles sont comparées aux traces laissées par le chlorophyte filamenteux oligophotique *Ostreobium*, une algue actuelle vivant au coeur du squelette de coraux modernes, en fait la plus fréquemment observée, et dont l'impact sur ces derniers est considéré comme neutre, voire comme bénéfique. En raison de leur forme générale, de leur diamètre et de leur modèle de répartition, ces perforations sont similaires à celles récemment identifiées dans le corail microsolénidé d'âge Crétacé inférieur.

#### Mots-clefs :

- microperforations ;
- euendolithes ;
- symbiose ;
- coraux ;
- Barrémien ;
- Bulgarie.

<sup>2</sup> boguslaw.kolodziej@uj.edu.pl

*idakieva@gea.uni-sofia.bg* 

- <sup>5</sup> mivanov@gea.uni-sofia.bg
- 6 klaudiusz.salamon@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institute of Geological Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Oleandry 2a, 30-063 Kraków (Poland)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Geology, Paleontology and Fossil Fuels, Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", 15 Tzar Osvoboditel Bd., 1000 Sofia (Bulgaria)

Published online in final form (pdf) on December 31, 2016 [Editor: Robert W. SCOTT; technical editor: Bruno GRANIER]

## 1. Introduction

Eukaryotic organisms may be animal or plant holobionts that are associated with microbial communities. The term coral holobiont refers to a dynamic, complex association between a coral host and internal and external microbiota: dinoflagellates (zooxanthellae), other algae, bacteria, archaea, viruses, fungi, and protozoans living in the coral tissue, mucus microlayer and skeleton (ROHWER et al., 2002). Recently, substantial progress has been made in studies of coral-associated microorganisms because interactions between them are crucial for coral health and disease, hence for the functioning of coral reefs (e.g., ROSENBERG & LOYA, 2004; THOMPSON et al., 2015). ZLATARSKI (2010) drew attention to the concept of coral holobionts in a paper addressed to researchers of fossil corals.

Geochemical and biochemical criteria, and fine-scale growth banding of the skeleton hold

potential to identify symbiotic zooxanthellae of fossil corals (FRANKOWIAK et al., 2016, and references therein), but to reveal these features requires exceptionally well preserved skeletons. Among coral-associated microorganisms, euendolithic algae, cyanobacteria and fungi are endoliths that actively penetrate hard substrates (GOLUBIC et al., 1981). These endoliths leave specific boring traces with fossilization potential even in poorly preserved skeletons. However, the fossil record of such coral-endolith associations are extremely rare, even though traces of boring microorganisms that colonized skeletons of live corals are as old as the Ordovician (ELIAS & LEE, 1993). The best preserved microendoliths are those described by KOŁODZIEJ et al. (2012a) from the Lower Cretaceous (Aptian) microsolenid corals from Romania. These authors also discussed other scarce fossil records. This paper reports large microborings produced by endoliths associated with a coral from the Barremian of Bulgaria.





## Figure 1:

A ( $\blacktriangle$ ) Location of sampling site.

B ( $\blacktriangleleft$ ) The outcrop in Lovech showing coral-bearing marls of the Smochan Formation.



**Figure 2:** Transverse sections through *Clausastrea saltensis*. A) General morphology of the corallite; Close-up of boxed area is shown in image C. B-F) Septa with microendoliths. Microborings are filled mostly with calcite sparite cement, rarely by micrite (arrow in B and C). In image D microborings are clearly clustered (arrow); in E microendoliths occur in the inner part (at the corallite centre) of coral septa; arrow in F shows two filaments squeezed in the same borehole, possibly above the branch point.

#### 2. Geological background and material

During the Barremian-Early Aptian (Early Cretaceous) several carbonate platforms existed on the northern Tethyan margin in Bulgaria (MINKOVSKA *et al.*, 2002). Abundant and diversified corals (about 120 species) were described from marls and limestones of the Lovech Urgonian Group in the Central Fore-Balkan. Levelbottom assemblages and coral bioconstructions (mostly matrix-supported reefs) represent clear- and turbid-water environments (*e.g.*, ZLATARSKI, 1967; IDAKIEVA & IVANOV, 2002; IDA-KIEVA, 2008; KOŁODZIEJ *et al.*, 2012b). The studied specimen comes from the Upper Barremian coral-bearing marls of the Smochan Formation (IDAKIEVA & IVANOV, 2002). Marls crop out along the road in the northern part of Lovech (ca. 140 km ENE of Sofia), ca. 1 km NW from the Lovech Railway Station (Fig. 1). Ten transverse and longitudinal uncovered thin sections of standard size (25 mm x 35 mm) were prepared from a colony of *Clausastrea saltensis* ALLOITEAU, 1960, in which microendoliths were recognized.



**Figure 3:** A-D) Longitudinal sections through *Clausastrea saltensis* showing septa with microendoliths. A) Arrows indicate long algal filament. B) Longitudinal (left white arrow) and transverse/oblique sections (right white arrow). Black arrow shows segmented filament; C) Segmented filament (lower arrow) and the cross wall in the filament (upper arrow) suggesting the onset of a narrower lateral branch. D) Two filaments filled with micrite interpreted as possible lateral branching (arrow) or alternatively as closely located filaments.

Thin sections were analyzed using binocular microscope Nikon SMZ 1000, petrographic microscope Zeiss Axiolab and scanning electron microscope Hitachi S-4700. Additionally, thin sections were studied using cold cathodoluminescence microscopy, apparatus Cambridge Image Technology Ltd, 8200 Mk3. All observations were carried out at the Institute of Geological Sciences, Jagiellonian University. Microboring diameters were measured using the Palaeontological Database System PaleoTax, module PaleoTax/Measure (http://www.paleo tax.de/measure). Measurements were statistically analysed with the PAST software (HAMMER et al., 2001). The specimen and thin sections are housed in the Institute of Geological Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Kraków.

#### 3. Results

The microeuendoliths, further referred to as microendoliths or microborings, were recognized in septa of the thamnasterioid (corallites without intervening wall) scleractinian coral, *Clausastrea saltensis* ALLOITEAU, 1960, of the Family Montlivaltiidae DIETRICH, 1926, Suborder Astreoina ALLOITEAU, 1952. They occur in three of the ten thin sections made from different parts of the colony. Microendoliths are common in septa in transverse thin section Lov 1c (Figs. 2 & 4). Longitudinal sections of borings are rare (Lov 1b; Fig. 3). Microborings are absent in dissepiments, that is tabular or vesicular skeletal elements connecting neighbouring septa.

The aragonitic coral skeleton was neomorphically transformed to calcite and recrystallized (Fig. 2.A). Septa are not micritized. Interseptal space is filled with blocky calcite cement, only locally with fine-grained sediment (Fig. 2.E). Calcite cement is lighter (more transparent) than neomorphic calcite (pseudospar) forming the coral skeleton. Observations under petrographic (Figs. 2 - 3) and scanning electron microscope (Fig. 4) revealed that calcite crystals filling microboring tunnels are coarser than neomorphic calcite of the coral skeleton, which is reflected by different solubilities of both calcite types (Fig. 4.B). Only locally microborings are filled with micrite (Figs. 2.B-C & 3.D) and rarely with pyrite crystals (Fig. 4.B). Studies under crossed nicols and under cathodoluminescent microscope revealed neither new microborings nor enhanced their morphology.

In transverse sections, microborings are distributed medially, along the septa (Figs. 2.B-F & 4), both in their outer and inner parts, which are close to the corallite centre (Fig. 2.E). Locally microborings are clearly clustered (Fig. 2.D). A few microendoliths are cut in the longitudinal direction evidencing that they are filamentous (Fig. 3). The presence of lateral branches is uncertain both in transverse (Fig. 2.F) and longitudinal (Fig. 3.C-D) sections. Two filaments are segmented (Fig. 3.B-C).

662 measurements of microboring diameters in transverse section were made using the program PaleoTax/Measure. Microborings vary in diameter ranging from 5 to 52 μm, most of them are between ca. 15-25 μm. The histogram showing the frequency distribution of diameters is slightly right-skewed (Fig. 5.A & 5.C). For comparison, 662 measurements of microborings in the Lower Aptian microsolenid coral (the best preserved sample R31; KOŁODZIEJ *et al.*, 2012a) from Romania range from 7 to 45 µm in diameter, mostly between ca. 18-32 µm. The histogram is normally distributed with a flat top (Fig. 5.B-C).



**Figure 4:** SEM images of microendoliths in coral septum in the transverse section. Image B is enlargement of the septum partially visible in the lower part of the image A. Note differences in crystal sizes of neomorphic calcite forming the coral skeleton and calcite cement filling microboring tunnels. Arrows indicate pyrite crystals in microborings.

#### 4. Discussion

Distribution patterns of microendoliths differ in live and dead corals. Dead and denuded parts of the skeleton are colonized at the surface and bored inward. Skeletons of live corals are bored from the inside upward and outward by microorganisms living in close proximity to and below the coral tissue and keeping pace with the rate of coral growth (LE CAMPION-ALSU-MARD et al., 1995). Filamentous morphology, diameter and distribution patterns of microendoliths described here are very similar to those revealed recently in skeletons of microsolenid corals from the Lower Aptian of Romania (Ko-LODZIEJ et al., 2012a). The presence of filament branching is uncertain because of very rare and poorly preserved longitudinal sections of microendoliths in C. saltensis. For example, possible branching shown in Figure 3.D may be alternatively interpreted as a result of close position of two unbranched filaments. Microborings are distributed medially along coral septa evidencing they are not post-mortem, but instead microorganisms lived in the skeleton of a growing coral. It is worth underlining that septa are not micritized in Bulgarian and Romanian corals with microendoliths. Thus there is no evidence of post-mortem activity of boring microorganisms, although micritization is a common phenomenon in many fossil corals, including those from the Lower Cretaceous of Bulgaria (e.g., KOŁODZIEJ et al., 2012b, Figs. 10C, 14E, 15D, 22A). Diameters of microendoliths described here are similar to those recognized in Romanian corals. Histograms of the frequency of microendolith diameters are normally distributed and slightly right-skewed in the case of microendoliths in the Bulgarian coral. That indicates the presence of one dominant morphotype (species?).

The spectacularly well-preserved, iron-stained microendoliths from Romania allowed their more detailed analysis and comparison with the modern euendolithic siphonalean chlorophyte

Ostreobium (KOŁODZIEJ et al., 2012a). It is worth noting that algal filaments in Romanian and Bulgarian corals have large diameters. Filaments of recent Ostreobium usually have smaller diameters. For example, LUKAS (1974) described from living corals filaments of Ostreobium quekettii BORNET & FLAHAULT, 1889, with diameters of 1-25 µm (typically 2-5 µm). In Ostreobium constrictum LUKAS, 1974, two types of filaments occurred: cylindrical with diameter of 3-20 µm, and inflated ones with diameter of 13-60 µm. Ostreobium quekettii is a modern producer of the ichnospecies Ichnoreticulina elegans (RADTKE, 1991). Its galleries have diameters of ca. 3 µm (see TRIBOLLET, 2008), which are much less than microendoliths described here and than most of those described by Ko-ŁODZIEJ et al. (2012a).

Although microendoliths are ubiquitous in the modern living corals, they are poorly taxonomically diversified and include algae (chlorophytes, rhodophytes), cyanobacteria and fungi (LE CAMPION-ALSUMARD *et al.*, 1995; GOLUBIC *et al.*, 2005; TRIBOLLET, 2008). Ostreobium quekettii is the most common autotrophic euendolith and was recognized in over 85% of coral species across a wide geographic and bathymetric range (LUKAS, 1974; LE CAMPION-ALSUMARD *et al.*, 1995; TRIBOLLET, 2008; GUTNER-HOCH & FINE, 2011).

Autotrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms that actively bore into rocks (mostly carbonates) might gain advantages in nutrient acquisition, finding a niche with limited competition, protection from some physical environmental stressors normally experienced by freeliving algae, exploitation of organic matter, protection from predatory grazing, and desiccation. A skeleton of a living coral is an extreme habitat, yet some photosynthetic algae and cyanobacteria show extraordinary ability to cope with low-light conditions (SCHLICHTER *et al.*, 1997; SHASHAR *et al.*, 1997; GOLUBIC *et al.*, 2005; TRIBOLLET, 2008).



**Figure 5:** Frequency of microboring diameters. A-B) Histograms showing frequency of the minimum and maximum diameters of microborings in *Clausastrea saltensis* from Bulgaria (A) and in the microsolenid coral from the Lower Aptian of Romania (B) described by KOŁODZIEJ *et al.* (2012a). C) Boxplot depicting median and range of microboring diameters in Bulgarian and Romanian corals.

Microborers that colonize dead carbonate substrates are important primary producers and greatly contribute to bioerosion and sedimentation (TRIBOLLET et al., 2011). The role of the euendolithic communities within skeletons of living corals for their health and diseases remains poorly understood (for review: TRIBOLLET, 2008). Although, rapid blooms of algal endoliths may cause over 25% of skeletal carbonate to be dissolved and removed (LE CAMPION-ALSUMARD et al., 1995), most of the studies on endolithic algae from reef corals suggest a neutral or positive effect on coral health, while fungi are potentially pathogenic. Microendoliths can translocate fixed carbon to the coral tissue (e.g., SCHLICHTER et al., 1997), and may be important for the survival of some bleached corals providing an alternative source of energy (FINE & LOYA, 2002).

Although its exact character is difficult to determine, *syn-vivo* coral-microendolith associations described here can be termed as facultative ectosymbiotic relationships in a broad (and original) sense of the term symbiosis. The broad meaning of this term is accepted by many biologists (*e.g.*, PARACER & AHMADJIAN, 2000) and, especially by palaeontologists (*e.g.*, DARRELL & TAYLOR, 1993).

Diagenetic bias (early or late diagenesis) seems to be the main control of the poor fossil record of this ecological group, especially of these microendoliths that left very thin microborings. Aragonitic skeletons of scleractinians are commonly neomorphically transformed to calcite, recrystallized, or dissolved (moldic porosity is commonly later filled with calcite cement). However, as previously observed by Ko-ŁODZIEJ *et al.* (2012a) in the Lower Cretaceous corals of Romania, microendoliths may be well preserved even in coral skeletons strongly affected by diagenesis. In Romanian corals the filaments show diverse states of preservation, which indicate that responsible taphonomic processes (mostly impregnation by iron oxides) operated on a small scale.

Alternatively, but less possible, the patchy distribution of microendoliths may be interpreted to be an increase in growth and diameter of microendoliths, in some parts of the colony, for instance during coral bleaching. That might favour preservation of large filaments.

# 5. Conclusions and suggestions for further studies

This report provides rare evidence of fossil microendolith-coral association. Although they are not as well preserved as the Early Cretaceous microendoliths described by KOŁODZIEJ et al. (2012a), the new record confirms that some coral-associated microendoliths may be large enough to be examined optically with standard petrographic microscope. However, careful observations of corals are required, because microendoliths might be preserved only locally.

The study of fossil microendoliths that inhabited growing corals is still in its infancy. This type of symbiotic association was certainly much more common, but it is extremely sparse fossil record is likely due to taphonomic loss. Moreover, microborings may be overlooked during taxonomic studies, or may be confused with relics of coral skeletal microstructure. They may co-occur with post-mortem borings, which makes their identification more difficult. In particular, further research should be oriented towards revealing thin microendoliths (ca. 5 µm and smaller). This requires well preserved coral specimens and SEM observations of natural or resin casts (KOŁODZIEJ & RADTKE, 1999; K. SALA-MON, work in progress) using casting-embedding, which is commonly used for modern and fossil material where microborings remained empty (GOLUBIC et al., 1970). Corals associated with ferruginous sediments, like those from the Cenomanian of Saxony (LÖSER, 2014), are potentially perspective material for study of symbiotic microendoliths that may be preserved as natural casts (K. SALAMON, work in progress). However, as shown by this report, large microendoliths may be readily visible under a petrographic microscope, even though they are not impregnated by other minerals.

Research focused on this aspect of coral palaeoecology will certainly reveal new findings. Such discoveries will be potentially significant to the understanding of palaeoecology of corals, microendoliths and their evolutionary relationships. Although much information will remain unrevealed due to taphonomic biases, new fossil records and rapidly improving understanding of modern coral-endolithic associations may provide valuable insight into the palaeoecology of ancient reefs. Potentially valuable research material includes corals showing skeletal bandings, which may be related to increased algal or fungal growth associated with environmental stress (e.g., LE CAMPION-ALSUMARD et al., 1995; GOLUBIC et al., 2005; CARILLI et al., 2010). Distribution of fine microborings in some Palaeozoic corals are compared by RISK et al. (1987) and ELIAS and LEE (1993) to algal banding in modern corals.

Lastly, due to the presence of early calcitefilled borings great care must be taken when even well preserved aragonitic corals are used as trace element archives applied to palaeoenvironmental studies. Proper sampling techniques are required to avoid calcite cement-filled microborings produced by symbiotic microendoliths in recent corals (NOTHDURFT & WEBB, 2009).

## Acknowledgements

The studies were conducted in the frame of the research grant financed by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (No. 2P04D 028 29). Dr. Vassil ZLATARSKI (Bristol, Rhode Island, US) participated actively during our field studies. Prof. Elizabeth CHACÓN (Linares, Nuevo Leon, Mexico) and Prof. Stejpko GOLUBIC (Boston, US) are acknowledged for corrections and constructive critical comments that improved the manuscript. We are grateful to Prof. Robert W. SCOTT (Tulsa, US) for linguistic corrections.

## **Bibliographic references**

- CARILLI J.E., GODFREY J., NORRIS R.D., SANDIN S.A. & SMITH J.E. (2010).- Periodic endolithic algal blooms in *Montastraea faveolata* corals may represent periods of low-level stress.-*Bulletin of Marine Science*, Miami, vol. 86, no. 3, p. 709-718.
- DARRELL J.G. & TAYLOR P.D. (1993).- Macrosymbiosis in corals: A review of fossil and potentially fossilizable examples.- *Courier Forschunginstitut Senckenberg*, Frankfurt am Main, vol. 164, p. 185-198.
- ELIAS R.J. & LEE D.-J. (1993).- Microborings and growth in Late Ordovician halysitids and other corals.- *Journal of Paleontology*, Lawrence, vol. 67, no. 6, p. 922-934.
- FINE M. & LOYA Y. (2002).- Endolithic algae An alternative source of photoassimilates during coral bleaching.- *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, vol. 269, no. 1497, p. 1205-1210.
- FRANKOWIAK K., KRET S., MAZUR M., MEIBOM A., KITAHARA M.V. & STOLARSKI J. (2016).- Finescale skeletal banding can distinguish symbiotic from asymbiotic species among modern and fossil scleractinian corals.- *PLOS ONE*, San Francisco, vol. 11, no. 1, e0147 066.
- GOLUBIC S., BRENT G. & LE CAMPION-ALSUMARD T. (1970).- Scanning electron microscopy of endolithic algae and fungi using a multipurpose casting-embedding technique.- *Lethaia*, Oslo, vol.3, no. 2, p. 203-209.
- GOLUBIC S., FRIEDMANN I. & SCHNEIDER J. (1981).-The lithobiontic ecological niche, with special reference to microorganisms.- *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, Tulsa, vol. 51, no. 2, p. 475-478.
- GOLUBIC S., RADTKE G. & LE CAMPION-ALSUMARD T. (2005).- Endolithic fungi in marine ecosystems.- *Trends in Microbiology*, London, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 229-235.
- GUTNER-HOCH E. & FINE M. (2011).- Genotypic diversity and distribution of *Ostreobium quekettii* within scleractinian corals.- *Coral Reefs*, New York, vol. 30, no. 3, p. 643-650.

- HAMMER Ø., HARPER D.A.T. & RYAN P.D., 2001. Past: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis.-*Palaeontologia Electronica*, vol. 4, no. 1, art. 4, 9 p. URL: http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/ past/
- IDAKIEVA V. (2008).- Taxonomy of scleractinian corals from the Barremian-Lower Aptian of Central North Bulgaria (Lovech Urgonian Group).- Annuaire de l'Université de Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski", Faculté de Géologie et Géographie, vol. 101, p. 5-32.
- IDAKIEVA V. & IVANOV M. (2002).- Coral buildups in the Smochan Formation (Urgonian, Lower Cretaceous) around Lovech (Central North Bulgaria).- Annuaire de l'Universite de Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski", Faculte de Géologie et Géographie, Sofia, vol. 95, p. 31-45. [In Bulgarian, English abstract].
- KOŁODZIEJ B., GOLUBIC S., BUCUR I.I., RADTKE G. & TRIBOLLET A. (2012a).- Early Cretaceous record of microboring organisms in skeletons of growing corals.- *Lethaia*, Oslo, vol. 45, no. 1, p. 34-45.
- KOŁODZIEJ B., IVANOV M. & IDAKIEVA V. (2012b).-Prolific development of pachythecaliines in Late Barremian, Bulgaria: Coral taxonomy and sedimentary environment.- Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae, Kraków, vol. 82, no. 4, p. 291-330.
- KOŁODZIEJ B. & RADTKE G. (1999).- Relation of coral microstructure and microendoliths attack (Paleocene). *In*: 8<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Fossil Cnidaria and Porifera, Sendai.- Abstracts, p. 101.
- LE CAMPION-ALSUMARD T., GOLUBIC S. & HUTCHINS P. (1995).- Microbial endoliths in the skeletons of live and dead corals: *Porites lobata* (Moorea, French Polynesia).- *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Oldendorf (Luhe), vol. 117, no. 1-3, p. 149-157.
- LÖSER H. (2014).- Korallen.- *Geologica Saxonica*, Dresden, vol. 60, no. 1, p. 17-56.
- LUKAS K.J. (1974).- Two species of the chlorophyte genus *Ostreobium* from skeletons of Atlantic and Caribbean reef corals.- *Journal of Phycology*, Moss Landing, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 331-335.
- MINKOVSKA V., PEYBERNÈS B., NIKOLOV T. & IVANOV M. (2002).- Paleogeographic reconstruction of a segment of the North-Tethyan margin in Bulgaria from Barremian to Albian.- *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae*, Basel, vol. 95, no. 1, p. 183-195.

- NOTHDURFT L.D. & WEBB G.E. (2009).- Earliest diagenesis in scleractinian coral skeletons: Implications for palaeoclimate-sensitive geochemical archives.- *Facies*, Erlangen, vol. 55, no. 2, p. 1612-4820.
- PARACER S. & AHMADJIAN V. (2000).- Symbiosis. An introduction to biological associations.-Oxford University Press, New York, 291 p.
- RISK M.J., PAGANI S.E. & ELIAS R.J. (1987).-Another internal clock: Preliminary estimates of growth rates based on cycles of algal boring activity.- *Palaios*, Lawrence, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 323-331.
- ROHWER F., SEGURITAN V., AZAM F. & KNOWLTON N. (2002).- Diversity and distribution of coralassociated bacteria.- *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Oldendorf (Luhe), vol. 243, p. 1-10.
- ROSENBERG E. & LOYA Y. (eds., 2004).- Coral health and disease.- Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg. 488 p.
- SCHLICHTER D., KAMPMANN H. & CONRADY S. (1997).- Trophic potential and photoecology of endolithic algae living within coral skeletons.- *Marine Ecology*, Berlin, vol. 18, no. 4, p. 299-317.
- SHASHAR N., BANASZAK A.T., LESSER M.P. & AMRAMI D. (1997).- Coral endolithic algae: Life in a protected environment.- *Pacific Science*, Honolulu, vol. 51, no. 2, p. 167-173.
- THOMPSON J.R., RIVERA H.E., CLOSEK C.J. & MEDINA M. (2015).- Microbes in the coral holobiont: Partners through evolution, development, and ecological interactions.- *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, Lausanne, vol. 4, Article 176, no. 4, p. 1-20.
- TRIBOLLET A. (2008).- The boring microflora in modern coral reef ecosystems: A review of its roles. *In:* WISSHAK M. & TAPANILA L. (eds), Current developments in bioerosion.- Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 67-94.
- TRIBOLLET A., RADTKE G. & GOLUBIC S. (2011).-Bioerosion. *In:* REITNER J. & THIEL V. (eds), Encyclopedia of geobiology.- Springer, Dordrecht, p. 117-134.
- ZLATARSKI V. (1967).- Note sur le genre *Clau*sastrea d'ORBIGNY (Ordre Madreporaria).-Bulletin of the Geolological Insitute, Sofia, (Series Paleontology), vol. 16, p. 23-34.
- ZLATARSKI V. (2010).- Palaeobiological perspectives on variability and taxonomy of scleractinian corals.- *Palaeoworld*, Nanjing, vol. 19, no. 3-4, p. 333-339.